

# A PHASE 1 DOSE ESCALATION STUDY OF EBC-129, A FIRST-IN CLASS, ANTI N-GLYCOSYLATED Abstract #653P **CEACAM5 & CEACAM6 ANTIBODY-DRUG CONJUGATE (ADC) IN PATIENTS WITH SOLID TUMORS ESMO 2024**

Matthew C. H. Ng<sup>1</sup>, Wei Peng Yong<sup>2</sup>, Funda-Meric Bernstam<sup>3</sup>, Robert W. Lentz<sup>4</sup>, Venkateshan Srirangam<sup>5</sup>; Inderjeet Singh<sup>5</sup>, Julienne Cometa<sup>5</sup>, Stephanie Blanchard<sup>5</sup>, Ranjani Nellore<sup>5</sup>, Kunal Shah<sup>5</sup>, Yock Ann Lee<sup>5</sup>, Chek Shik Lim<sup>5</sup>, Bong Hwa Gan<sup>5</sup>, Alison Tan<sup>5</sup>, Claudia Koh<sup>5</sup>, Nurul Rozaini<sup>5</sup>, Joe P.S. Yeong<sup>6</sup>, Quraishah Adanan<sup>5</sup>, Vishal Pendharkar<sup>5</sup>, Joma Joy<sup>5</sup>, Veronica Novotny-Diermayr<sup>5# 1</sup>National Cancer Centre Singapore (NCCS), 30 Hospital Blvd, Singapore 168583; <sup>2</sup>University Hospital (NUH) Singapore, 5 Lower Kent Ridge Rd, Singapore 119074; <sup>3</sup>MD Anderson Cancer Center, 1220 Holocombe Blvd, Houston, TX 77030 United States; <sup>5</sup>Experimental Drug Development Centre (EDDC), 10 Biopolis Road, #05-01 Chromos, Singapore 138670; <sup>6</sup>Institute of Molecular and Cell Biology (IMCB), Agency for Science, Technology and Research (A\*STAR), 61 Biopolis Drive, Proteos, Singapore 138673. #Sponsor contact email: <u>veronica\_diermayr@eddc.sg</u>. Authors declare no COI.

**BACKGROUND:** 

EBC-129 is a humanised IgG1 mAb (EBC-092), linked to monomethyl auristatin E (MMAE) via the cleavable mc-vc-PAB linker and a DAR of 3.5. It targets a conformational epitope unique to N256-glycosylated CEACAM5 & CEACAM6 (N256 C5/C6) which confers tumour specificity. EBC-129 can internalise and inhibit tumour growth independently, via both receptors, see Fig. 1. C6 (and not C5) was shown to be predictive for overall survival in PDAC and gastric cancers.



produced antigen

overexpressing C5/C6) analysed in Incucyte S3

# **METHODS:**

The multicentre Phase 1A dose escalation study used a Bayesian design to establish the recommended Phase 2 dose (RP2D) of EBC-129. Patients (pts) failing standard therapies, with adequate organ function and ECOG 0-1 were eligible (see below), and received doses between 0.3 and 2.2 mg/kg every 3 weeks, until disease progression (Fig. 2). The primary endpoint was safety; secondary endpoints included efficacy and PK. Prospective central assessment of archival tissue to determine IHC positivity (≥20% at 2+ or 3+) was performed centrally using a validated assay with a mouse N256-C5/6 specific mAb, EBC-413 (Fig. 3).

Figure 2. Schematic representation of Part A Dose Escalation CRM design Q3W dosing schedule 2.2 m 21 days DLT period 2.0 mg/kg Back-filled 0.3 mg/kg

Fig. 3. IHC (using EBC-413\*) shows highest expression of N256-CEACAM5/6 in pancreatic, GI and lung cancers

Multi-tumour TMA stained with **EBC-413** Breast cancer Colon cance 60-Desophageal o Kidney cancer Liver cancer Lung cancer Gastric cancer

S



### Equal efficacy via N-glycosylated **CEACAM5 or CEACAM6 receptor**



Efficacy studies using isogenic NCI-H1299 xenograft models in NOD-SCID mice (n=6/grp) after 1x 5 mg/kg EBC-129

	Patient Demographics					
	Age (Y; Med [R])	72.9 (53.0-98.3)				
	Sex (M : F [%])	77.8 : 22.2				
	Race (Asian : White [%])	55.6 : 44.4				
/kg )	Prior lines (Med [R])	3 (1 -9)				
	Tumor type (%)					
	PDAC	33%				
	CRC	28%				
	Oesophageal (SCC/ADC)	22%				
	Gastric	6%				
	NEPC	6%				
	Appendiceal	6%				

EBC-129 antigen prevalence in clinical samples tested

### **RESULTS - Safety & PK**

As of 13 Aug 2024, 18 pts received ≥1 dose of EBC-129 (see demographics). The MTD was 2.2 mg/kg,. Two DLTs were observed (G4 febrile neutropenia @ 2.2 mg/kg; G3 AST ↑ @ 2 mg/kg). The most common TRAEs were Gr 1-2 infusion-related reactions (83%). Transient Gr 3/4 neutropenia was the most common G3/4 event. No recurrence was seen after growth factor support. Other than 1 treatment-aggravated pre-existing G1 neuropathy @ 2.2 mg/kg (G1) no other neuropathy was seen; see Tables 1 & 2. Exposures for conjugated antibody (cAb) and other PK parameters increased proportionally with dose and did not show accumulation in Cycle 5 (Fig. 4 & Table 3).

### Table 1. TEAEs where Preferred Term is > 10% - Part A Safety Analysis Set (Data cut-off 19 Jul 2024)

	EBC-129 dose (mg/kg)				τοται		
Preferred Term	0.3	0.6	1.2	1.8	2.0	2.2	IUIAL
	N=1	N=1	N=3	N=5	N=3	N=5	N=18
Chills*	0	1 (100%)	3 (100%)	4 (80%)	3 (100%)	2 (40%)	13 (72%)
Pyrexia*	0	1 (100%)	3 (100%)	3 (60%)	2 (67%)	3 (60%)	12 (67%)
Neutrophil count decreased/neutropenia	0	0	0	5 (100%)	3 (100%)	4 (80%)	12 (67%)
Nausea*	0	0	1 (33%)	2 (40%)	3 (100%)	1 (20%)	7 (39%)
WBC decreased	0	0	0	3 (60%)	2 (67%)	1 (20%)	6 (33%)
Fatigue	0	1 (100%)	1 (33%)	2 (40%)	0	1 (20%)	5 (28%)
Diarrhoea	1 (100%)	0	0	0	1 (33%)	2 (40%)	4 (22%)
Platelet count decreased	0	0	0	1 (20%)	2 (67%)	1 (20%)	4 (22%)
Anaemia	0	0	2 (67%)	0	1 (33%)	0	3 (17%)
Dyspnoea*	0	0	2 (67%)	0	1 (33%)	0	3 (17%)
Vomiting*	0	0	1 (33%)	0	1 (33%)	1 (20%)	3 (17%)
AST increased	0	0	0	1 (20%)	1 (33%)	1 (20%)	3 (17%)
Myalgia	0	0	0	1 (20%)	1 (33%)	1 (20%)	3 (17%)
Infusion related reactions*	0	0	0	1 (20%)	1 (33%)	0	2 (11%)
Decreased appetite	0	0	1 (33%)	1 (20%)	0	0	2 (11%)
Abdominal pain upper	1(100)	0	0	0	0	1 (20%)	2 (11%)
Amylase increased	0	0	0	1(20%)	0	1 (20%)	2 (11%)
Dyspepsia	0	0	0	0	0	2 (40%)	2 (11%)
Hypokalaemia	0	0	0	0	0	2 (40%)	2 (11%)
Hypomagnesaemia	0	0	0	0	0	2 (40%)	2 (11%)

\* All these AEs were part of infusion related reactions (IRRs) with a total overall incidence of 83%

### *Table 2.* Summary of ≥ Grade 3 related TEAEs

Preferred Term	1.2 mg/kg N=3	1.8 mg/kg N=5	2.0 mg/kg N=3	2.2 mg/kg N=5	TOTAL N=18
Neutrophil count decreased/Neutropenia	0	4 (80%)	3 (100%)	3 (60%)	10 (55%)
Anaemia	1 (33%)	0	1 (33%)	0	2 (11%)
WBC count decreased	0	0	1 (33%)	1 (20%)	2 (11%)
AST increased	0	0	1 (33%)	0	1 (6%)
Diarrhoea	0	0	1 (33%)	0	1 (6%)
Vomiting	0	0	0	0	1 (6%)
Нурохіа	0	0	0	0	1 (6%)
Blood bilirubin increased	0	0	0	0	1 (6%)
Dizziness	0	0	0	0	1 (6%)
Lipase increased	0	1 (20%)	0	0	1 (6%)
Amylase increased	0	1 (20%)	0	0	1 (6%)
Febrile neutropenia	0	0	0	1 (20%)	1 (6%)

Table 3. Interim PK parameters for cAb in human serum (ECLIA) Figure 4. Serum conc. vs time (cAb) Phoenix<sup>®</sup> WinNonLin<sup>®</sup> NCA analysis

Dose (mg/kg)	C <sub>max</sub> (µg/mL)	AUC <sub>0-21D</sub> (h*µg/mL)	T <sub>max</sub> (h)	A (h*
1.2	25 ± 4.2	855 ± 27	2.0 (0.5-2.0)	86
1.8	39.1 ± 8.1	1,750 ± 512	0.5 (0.5-0.5)	1,83
2.0	36 ± 1.4	1,480 ± 66	0.5 (0.5-0.5)	1,5
2.2	46 ± 5.0	1,890 ± 287	0.5 (0.5-0.5)	1,92
1.2	20	781	0.5	
1.8	37 ± 2.1	2,145 ± 361	0.5 (0.5-0.5)	2,3
2.2	35	1.280	0.5	

Mean  $\pm$  SD, with exception of  $T_{max}$  (median (min-max))





## **RESULTS - Efficacy**

Of 18 evaluable pts, there were 3 PRs (2 oesophageal adenocarcinomas and 1 pancreatic adenocarcinoma), and 8 patients with SD. 3 pts are currently still ongoing (Figs. 5 and 6).

Pat. ID	Indication
202026	Pancreatic AD
201032	Pancreatic AD
210062	Pancreatic AD
202021	Gastric AD
101030	Pancreatic AD
202024	Pancreatic AD
102036	GEJ ADO
101010	NEP
201022	Oesophageal AD
101004	CRO
201025	Caecum AD
201025	Appendix AD
201006	Pancreatic AD
201005	Oesophageal AD
102004	CRO
101001	Oesophageal SC
102002	CRO
102001	CRO

ADC: adenocarcinom SCC: squamous cell carcinoma



## CONCLUSION

EBC-129 is the first ADC to target N256C5/6 and confers equal activity independently via both receptors. EBC-129 demonstrated promising early activity in heavily pre-treated pts. Reversible IRRs and neutropenia were the most common AEs. Expansion cohorts in IHC<sup>+</sup> gastroesophageal adenocarcinomas or other IHC<sup>+</sup> cancers, and in PDAC (IHC positive or  $\geq 1\%$ expression at 3+) are ongoing.



Figure. 5. Patient duration on treatment and time to response

Figure. 6 Waterfall plot, showing best overall response of target lesions